arXiv:1503.03919v1 [math.GR] 13 Mar 2015

AN EXAMPLE OF A NON NON-ARCHIMEDEAN POLISH GROUP WITH AMPLE GENERICS

MACIEJ MALICKI

Abstract. For an analytic P-ideal I, S_I is the Polish group of all permutations of $\mathbb{N}$ whose support is in I, with Polish topology given by the corresponding submeasure on I. We show that if $\mathrm{Fin} \subsetneq I$, then S_I has ample generics. This implies that there exists a non non-archimedean Polish group with ample generics.

1. Introduction

A Polish (i.e. separable and completely metrizable) topological group G has *ample generics* if the diagonal action of G on G^{n+1} by conjugation has a comeager orbit for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This notion was introduced by W. Hodges, I. Hodkinson, D. Lascar and S. Shelah [1], and in recent years it has drawn attention of many researchers (see [3] for more details.) An important motivation behind these investigations is that the existence of ample generics entails very interesting and strong consequences: a Polish group G with ample generics has the automatic continuity property (i.e. every homomorphism from G into a separable group is continuous), the small index property (i.e. every subgroup $H \leqslant G$ with $[G : H] < 2^\omega$ is open), and uncountable cofinality for non-open subgroups (i.e. every countable exhaustive chain of non-open subgroups of G is finite.) Moreover, by the general theory of Polish groups, the automatic continuity property implies that there exists a unique Polish group topology on G.

One of fundamental results in this area (see [3]) provides a complete characterization of Polish groups with ample generics that are subgroups of the group S_∞ of all permutations of the natural numbers, i.e. *non-archimedean* groups. As a matter of fact, all the known so far Polish groups with ample generics are of this form, and, as A. Kechris [2] put it, it is 'an important open problem (...) whether there exist Polish groups that fail to be non-archimedean but have ample generics'. In this note, we solve this problem by indicating a whole family of Polish groups with ample generics that are non non-archimedean.

Date: March 04, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E15, 54H11.

Key words and phrases. ample generics, non-archimedean groups, P-ideals.

2. Results

Recall that a *lower semi-continuous submeasure* on $\mathbb{N}$ is a function $\phi : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \to [0, \infty]$ satisfying

- $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$,
- $A \subseteq B$ implies that $\phi(A) \leqslant \phi(B)$,
- $\phi(A \cup B) \leqslant \phi(A) + \phi(B)$, and $\phi(\{n\}) < \infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $\phi(\bigcup_m A_m) = \lim_m \phi(A_m)$ whenever $A_0 \subseteq A_1 \subseteq \ldots$.

Let I be an analytic P-ideal on $\mathbb{N}$ containing the ideal Fin consisting of finite sets. It is well known (see [4]) that there exists a lower semi-continuous submeasure ϕ on $\mathbb{N}$ such that $I = \mathrm{Exh}(\phi)$, where

$$\mathrm{Exh}(\phi) = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \lim_m \phi(A \backslash [0, m]) = 0\}.$$

As in [6], we associate with I the group $S_I \leqslant S_\infty$ of permutations of $\mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$S_I = \{g \in S_\infty : \mathrm{supp}(g) \in I\}.$$

Then (see [6, Theorem 5.3]) S_I is Polishable, and its Polish group topology τ_I is given by the metric

$$d(f, g) = \phi(\{f \neq g\}).$$

Theorem 1. *Let I be an analytic P-ideal such that Fin $\subsetneq I$. Then S_I has ample generics.*

The following fact is well known. We prove it for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2. *Let X be a Polish space, and let G be a Polish group continuously acting on X. Suppose that $x \in X$ is such that for every open neighborhood of the identity $V \subseteq G$, the set $V.x$ is somewhere dense in $\overline{G.x}$. Then the orbit of x is comeager in $\overline{G.x}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $G.x$ is not comeager in $\overline{G.x}$. Since $G.x$ is analytic, and so it has the Baire property in $\overline{G.x}$, there exists an open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $G.x \cap U$ is non-empty and meager in $\overline{G.x}$. In other words, $U \cap G.x \subseteq \bigcup_n F_n$, where each F_n is closed and nowhere dense in $\overline{G.x}$. The set

$$W = \{g \in G : g.x \in U\}$$

is open, non-empty in G, so there exists a non-meager $W' \subseteq W$ such that $W'.x \subseteq F_{n_0}$ for some n_0. By continuity of the action, $\overline{W'}.x \subseteq F_{n_0}$ as well. Since $\overline{W'}$ has non-empty interior, there exists $g \in G$ and an open neighborhood of the identity V such that $gV.x \subseteq F_{n_0}$. Therefore $V.x \subseteq g^{-1}F_{n_0}$, and $V.x$ is nowhere dense in $\overline{G.x}$; a contradiction. □

Lemma 3. *Let I be an analytic P-ideal, and let $f, g \in S_I$. Suppose that $A \in I$, and $h_m \in S_I$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are such that supp$(h_m) \subseteq A$, and*

$$f \restriction [0, m] = h_m g h_m^{-1} \restriction [0, m]$$

for all m. Then $h_m g h_m^{-1} \to f$.

Proof. Let ϕ be a lower semi-continuous submeasure on $\mathbb{N}$ such that $I = \mathrm{Exh}(\phi)$. Put $B = A \cup \mathrm{supp}(f) \cup \mathrm{supp}(g)$. Clearly, $B \in I$, and

$$\mathrm{supp}(h_m g h_m^{-1}) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(h_m) \cup \mathrm{supp}(g) \subseteq B.$$

Since $\phi(B \backslash [0,m]) \to 0$, and, by our assumption,

$$\{f \neq h_m g h_m^{-1}\} \subseteq B \backslash [0,m]$$

for every m, we get that $d(f, h_m g h_m^{-1}) \to 0$, i.e. $h_m g h_m^{-1} \to f$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let ϕ be a lower semi-continuous submeasure on $\mathbb{N}$ such that $I = \mathrm{Exh}(\phi)$. We can assume that $\phi(\{n\}) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $V_\epsilon \subseteq S_I$ be the neighbourhood of the identity in S_I of the form

$$V_\epsilon = \{f \in S_I : \phi(\mathrm{supp}(f)) < \epsilon\}.$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that there exist $g_0, \ldots, g_n \in S_I$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ the set $V_\epsilon.(g_0, \ldots, g_n)$ is somewhere dense in S_I^{n+1}, and $S_I.(g_0, \ldots, g_n)$ is dense in S_I^{n+1}, where

$$g.(g_0, \ldots, g_n) = (g g_0 g^{-1}, \ldots, g g_n g^{-1}),$$

for $g, g_0, \ldots, g_n \in S_I$. As n and ϵ are arbitrary, by Lemma 2, this will imply that S_I has ample generics.

Fix an infinite $A \in I$. It is easy to find $g_0, \ldots, g_n \in S_I$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

i) $\mathrm{supp}(g_i) \subseteq A$ for $i \leqslant n$,
ii) for every m there exists $m_0 > m$ such that $[0, m_0]$ is invariant under the action of each g_i,
iii) for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, finite $B_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and every $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in \mathrm{Sym}(B_0)$ there exists $A_0 \subseteq A$ with $\min A_0 > m$, and a bijection $h : A_0 \to B_0$ such that

$$h g_i h^{-1}(b) = f_i(b)$$

for all $b \in B_0$, and $i \leqslant n$.

We show that $g_0, \ldots, g_n$ are as required. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Fix $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\epsilon' > 0$ such that

a) $\phi(A \backslash [0, m_0]) < \epsilon/2$,
b) $[0, m_0]$ is invariant under the action of each g_i,
c) $(n+1)\epsilon' < \epsilon/2$,
d) $d(f, g_i) < \epsilon'$ implies that $f \upharpoonright [0, m_0] = g_i \upharpoonright [0, m_0]$, $i \leqslant n$.

Fix $f_0, \ldots, f_n \in S_I$ such that $d(f_i, g_i) < \epsilon'$, $i \leqslant n$, and each f_i has finite support. Let $B = \bigcup_{i \leqslant n} \mathrm{supp}(f_i)$, and let $m_1 = \max B$. For every $m > m_0, m_1$ we will construct $h_m \in S_I$ such that

$$\mathrm{supp}(h_m) \subseteq (A \cup B) \backslash [0, m_0], \text{ and } d(f_i, h_m g_i h_m^{-1}) \to 0$$

for every $i \leqslant n$. Observe that the former, together with Points a) and c), implies that

$$\phi(\mathrm{supp}(h_m)) < \epsilon/2 + (n+1)\epsilon' < \epsilon,$$

i.e. $h_m \in V_\epsilon$.

Fix $m > m_0, m_1$. Put $B_0 = (A \cup B) \cap (m_0, m]$. By Points b) and d), $f_i \upharpoonright B_0 \in \mathrm{Sym}(B_0)$ for each $i \leqslant n$. By Point iii), there exists $A_0 \subseteq A$ with $\min A_0 > m$, and a bijection $h : A_0 \to B_0$ such that

$$hg_ih^{-1} \upharpoonright B_0 = f_i \upharpoonright B_0$$

for each $i \leqslant n$. Clearly, we can extend h to a permutation h_m of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(h_m) \subseteq A_0 \cup B_0 \subseteq (A \cup B) \backslash [0, m_0]$. But then, by our choice of f_i and Point d), we get that

$$hg_ih^{-1} \upharpoonright [0, m] = f_i \upharpoonright [0, m].$$

By Lemma 3, $h_m g_i h_m^{-1} \to f_i$ for each $i \leqslant n$.

Since permutations with finite support are dense in S_I, and the only other requirement we imposed on f_i is that $d(f_i, g_i) < \epsilon'$, the above shows that $V_\epsilon.(g_0, \ldots, g_n)$ is somewhere dense. Observe that if consider S_I instead of V_ϵ (and set $m_0 = -1$), the same argument gives that the orbit $S_I.(g_0, \ldots, g_n)$ is dense in S_I^{n+1}. ☐

Recall that an ideal I is called a *trivial modification of* Fin if there exists $A \subseteq I$ such that

$$I = \{B \subseteq \mathbb{N} : A \cap B \in \mathrm{Fin}\}.$$

Corollary 4. *Suppose that I is a $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^0_2$ P-ideal which contains Fin, and is not a trivial modification of Fin. Then S_I is a non non-archimedean Polish group with ample generics. In particular, there exists such a group, e.g. S_{I_S}, where I_S is the summable ideal.*

Proof. By [5], I is zero-dimensional in the Polish topology τ_I if and only if I is a trivial modification of Fin. Moreover, by [6, Theorem 5.3], S_I is zero-dimensional if and only if I is zero-dimensional, so S_I is not zero-dimensional. But a non-archimedean group must be zero-dimensional, so S_I is non non-archimedean. By Theorem 1, S_I has ample generics.

Clearly, the summable ideal

$$I_S = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \sum_{n \in A} 1/n < \infty\}$$

is a $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^0_2$ P-ideal which is not a trivial modification of Fin. ☐

References

[1] W. Hodges, I. Hodkinson, D. Lascar, D. Shelah, *The small index property for ω-stable ω-categorical structures and for the random graph*, J. London Math. Soc. 48 (1993) 204–218.

[2] A. Kechris, *Dynamics of non-archimedean Polish groups*, European Congress of Mathematics: Krakow, July 2-7, 2012 (ed. R. Latala and A. Rucinski; European Mathematical Society, 2013) 375–397. by Rafal Latala and Andrzei Rucinski

[3] A. Kechris, C. Rosendal, *Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 94 (2007), no. 2, 302–350.

[4] S. Solecki, *Analytic ideals and their applications*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 99 (1999), 51–72.

[5] S. Solecki, *Local inverses of Borel homomorphisms and analytic P-ideals*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 3 (2005), 207–220.
[6] T. Tsankov, *Compactifications of* $\mathbb{N}$ *and Polishable subgroups of* S_∞, Fund. Math. 189 (2006), 269–284.

Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Economics, Warsaw School of Economics, al. Niepodleglosci 162, 02-554,Warsaw, Poland
E-mail address: `mamalicki@gmail.com`